



FILED
10-07-16
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company
for Approval of the Retirement of Diablo Canyon
Power Plant, Implementation of the Joint Proposal,
And Recovery of Associated Costs Through
Proposed Ratemaking Mechanisms

(U 39 E)

Application 16-08-006
(Filed August 11, 2016)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
LATE-FILED NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION**

Pursuant to Rule 8.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby give notice of two separate *ex parte* communications. The communications occurred by email on Tuesday, October 4 at 12:17 PM, and Wednesday, October 5 at 1:12 PM, to the offices of California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The email communications were to the service list in A.16-08-006 and are attached.

The first email communication was from Amy Yu, Legal Secretary, PG&E, to the service list that includes Peter Allen, Administrative Law Judge; David Peck, Advisor to President Michael Picker; Sean Simon and Leuwam Tesfai, Advisors to Commissioner Liane Randolph; and Rachel Peterson, Chief of Staff to Commissioner Liane Randolph. This email transmitted PG&E's letter from Tom Jones, Director of Strategic Initiatives, PG&E, to the San Luis Obispo County Administrator Officer, Dan Busckshi, addressing

the County's concern regarding PG&E's Plans for future use of the 12,000 acres of lands surrounding the Diablo Canyon Power Plant.

The second email communication was from Charles Middlekauff, Chief Counsel, PG&E, also to the service list that includes Peter Allen, Administrative Law Judge; David Peck, Advisor to President Michael Picker; Sean Simon and Leuwam Tesfai, Advisors to Commissioner Liane Randolph; and Rachel Peterson, Chief of Staff to Commissioner Liane Randolph. This email transmitted two documents addressing scheduling and scoping issues in advance of the Prehearing Conference.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Erik B. Jacobson

Erik B. Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail
San Francisco, CA 94177
Phone: 415-973-4464
Fax: 415-973-7226
E-mail: EBJ1@pge.com

Dated: October 7, 2016

ATTACHMENT 1

From: Yu, Amy (Law)

Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM

To: 'abb@eslawfirm.com'; 'Apak@AlPakLaw.com'; 'ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com'; 'Austin.yang@sfgov.org'; 'Barbara@BarkovichAndYap.com'; 'BarmackM@calpine.com'; 'BHalter@MeyersNave.com'; 'Blaising@BraunLegal.com'; 'Brad@Calseia.org'; 'BStevens@sfgwater.org'; 'BStrottman@MeyersNave.com'; 'cbarry@iwpnews.com'; 'CDietrick@slocity.org'; 'cmkehrein@ems-ca.com'; 'cpuccases@pge.com'; 'CPUCdockets@eq-research.com'; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); 'DavidJayWeisman@gmail.com'; 'db1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dbp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dcohen@navigant.com'; 'DFranz@SolarCity.com'; 'dhkorn@earthlink.net'; 'diamond@energyhub.net'; 'dil@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'DJacobson@EnvironmentCalifornia.org'; 'DLowrey@Comverge.com'; 'DMoglen@foe.org'; 'douglass@energyattorney.com'; 'dwtpucdockets@dwt.com'; 'dz1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'eg1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'EK@a-klaw.com'; 'EmilySangi@dwt.com'; 'ESalustro@SemptraUtilities.com'; 'esheffer@slcsd.org'; 'FrankJ@ProgressiveLaw.com'; 'frankrichlindh@gmail.com'; 'fwahl@solarcity.com'; 'GMorris@emf.net'; 'greencowboysdf@gmail.com'; 'hayley@turn.org'; 'Info@dcisc.org'; 'JAC@CPowerEnergyManagement.com'; 'JBBrown@gate.net'; Doyle, Conor; 'jerryll@abag.ca.gov'; 'JHCaldwellJr@gmail.com'; 'jhendry@sfgwater.org'; 'JKairam@edf.org'; 'jlasbury@iid.com'; 'JMcIntyre@GoodinMacBride.com'; 'jnmwem@gmail.com'; 'John.Leslie@Dentons.com'; 'John@DicksonGeesman.com'; 'jsadams4910@yahoo.com'; 'JWaen@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'KaraSlo@Charter.net'; 'kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com'; 'klatt@energyattorney.com'; 'LAlper@sfgwater.org'; 'LChaset@KeyesAndFox.com'; 'Leuwam.Tesfai@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Liaison@CGNP.org'; 'liddell@EnergyAttorney.com'; 'liz@CEERT.org'; 'ljt@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'lkoehler@edf.org'; 'marcie.milner@shell.com'; 'matt.vespa@sierraclub.org'; 'Matt@ohmConnect.com'; 'matthew@turn.org'; 'MCallahan@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'MDJoseph@AdamsBroadwell.com'; 'MeganMMyers@yahoo.com'; 'mh3@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'mmattes@nossaman.com'; 'mrp@dwgp.com'; 'mrw@mrwassoc.com'; 'nes@a-klaw.com'; 'NGillette@EnerNOC.com'; 'NMalcolm@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'nreardon@sonomacleanpower.org'; 'PatrickFerguson@dwt.com'; 'PhilM@SCDenenergy.com'; 'pmiller@nrdc.org'; 'Policy@EfficiencyCouncil.org'; 'pva@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Rachel@LargeScaleSolar.org'; 'ralaurie@iid.com'; 'rc5@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'RCavanagh@nrdc.org'; 'RegCleanPowers@SFWater.org'; 'RegRelCPUCCases'; 'regulatory@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'Rochelle@A4NR.org'; 'rp1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Samuel@Blakeslee-Blakeslee.com'; 'sarah.keane@morganlewis.com'; 'sgriffin@meyersnave.com'; 'Sherry.Lewis66@att.net'; 'SMarshall@LeanEnergyUS.org'; 'SMeyers@MeyersNave.com'; 'smn@dwgp.com'; Ramaiya, Shilpa; 'srt@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'SShupe@SonomaCleanPower.org'; 'ssmyers@att.net'; 'SSwaroop@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'Stough@BraunLegal.com'; 'sue.mara@RTOAdvisors.com'; 'svn@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'tam@communityRenewables.biz'; 'tdp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'TLindl@kfwlaw.com'; 'Ty@TosdalLaw.com'; 'venskus@lawsv.com'; 'VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com'; Manheim, William (Law); 'ys2@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'zk1@cpuc.ca.gov'

Cc: Jones, Thomas P.; Manheim, William (Law); 'Dan Buckshi (dbuckshi@co.slo.ca.us)'

Subject: DCP: PG&E Letter to SLO County Administrator Officer

Service List A.16-08-006:

Attached please find a copy of a PG&E's letter provided to the San Luis Obispo County Administrator Officer, Dan Busckshi, addressing the County's concern regarding PG&E's Plans for future use of the 12,000 acres of lands surrounding the Diablo Canyon Power Plant.

If you have any questions, please contact Tom Jones at (805) 595-6340.

Amy S. Yu, on behalf of
Thomas P. Jones
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

October 4, 2016

Dan Buckshi
County Administrator Officer
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Dear Mr. Buckshi:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) has carefully reviewed the County of San Luis Obispo's (County) September 15 response to PG&E's Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) Application 16-08-006. One of the concerns raised by the County (and other locally-based parties) pertains to the future use of the 12,000 acres of lands surrounding DCPP after the facility is retired. In our September 26 reply to protests and responses, PG&E clarified that we do not yet have a plan for the future use of DCPP lands, that we will commence a public stakeholder process as we evaluate the options, and that we will submit a land use plan to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in the site-specific decommissioning plan for the facility, which PG&E will file as part of its next Nuclear Decommissioning Triennial Proceeding application in 2019.

I am writing to assure you that PG&E intends to complete the site-specific decommissioning plan for the facility over the coming years with community input before making any decisions on the disposition of the DCPP lands. As part of this process, PG&E will convene a community advisory group that will give stakeholders an opportunity to help shape the future use of PG&E's land plans prior to finalizing the site-specific plan. In the meantime, PG&E will not make any commitments on land disposition or post-retirement land use, including the Wild Cherry Canyon parcels, until the stakeholder process is completed and PG&E's recommendations have been considered by the CPUC as part of the DCPP site-specific decommissioning plan.

PG&E values and appreciates the active partnership of the County and other local stakeholders, and we look forward to continuing to work with you and the rest of the community in both the pending CPUC proceeding and the important decommissioning work to follow. Please feel free to contact me if I can provide any further assurance regarding these land disposition issues.

Sincerely,



Thomas P. Jones

cc: City of Arroyo Grande
City of Atascadero
City Grover Beach
City of Morro Bay
City of El Paso de Robles
City of Pismo Beach
City of San Luis Obispo
Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon
Service List for CPUC Docket No. A.16-08-006 (*via email only*)

ATTACHMENT 2

From: Middlekauff, Charles (Law)

Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 1:12 PM

To: Allen, Peter

Cc: 'abb@eslawfirm.com'; 'Apak@AlPakLaw.com'; 'ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com'; 'Austin.yang@sfgov.org'; 'Barbara@BarkovichAndYap.com'; 'BarmackM@calpine.com'; 'BHalter@MeyersNave.com'; 'Blaising@BraunLegal.com'; 'Brad@Calseia.org'; 'BStevens@sfgwater.org'; 'BStrottman@MeyersNave.com'; 'cbarry@iwpnews.com'; 'CDietrick@slocity.org'; 'ckt@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'cmkehrin@ems-ca.com'; 'cpuccases@pge.com'; 'CPUCdockets@eq-research.com'; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); 'DavidJayWeisman@gmail.com'; 'db1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dbp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dcohen@navigant.com'; 'DFranz@SolarCity.com'; 'dhkorn@earthlink.net'; 'diamond@energyhub.net'; 'dil@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'DJacobson@EnvironmentCalifornia.org'; 'DLowrey@Comverge.com'; 'DMoglen@foe.org'; 'douglass@energyattorney.com'; 'dwtcpucdockets@dwt.com'; 'dz1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'eg1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'EK@a-klaw.com'; 'EmilySangi@dwt.com'; 'ESalustro@SemptraUtilities.com'; 'esheffer@slcusc.org'; 'FrankJ@ProgressiveLaw.com'; 'frankrichlindh@gmail.com'; 'fwahl@solarcity.com'; 'GMorris@emf.net'; 'greencowboysdf@gmail.com'; 'hayley@turn.org'; 'Info@dcisc.org'; 'JAC@CPowerEnergyManagement.com'; 'JBBrown@gate.net'; Doyle, Conor; 'jerry@abag.ca.gov'; 'JHCaldwellJr@gmail.com'; 'jhendry@sfgwater.org'; 'JKairam@edf.org'; 'jlasbury@iid.com'; 'JMCIntyre@GoodinMacBride.com'; 'jnmwem@gmail.com'; 'John.Leslie@Dentons.com'; 'John@DicksonGeesman.com'; 'jsadams4910@yahoo.com'; 'JWaen@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'KaraSlo@Charter.net'; 'kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com'; 'klatt@energyattorney.com'; 'Klatt@EnergyAttorney.com'; 'LAlper@sfgwater.org'; 'LChaset@KeyesAndFox.com'; 'Leuwam.Tesfai@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Liaison@CGNP.org'; 'liddell@EnergyAttorney.com'; 'liz@CEERT.org'; 'ljt@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'lkoehler@edf.org'; 'marcie.milner@shell.com'; 'matt.vespa@sierraclub.org'; 'Matt@ohmConnect.com'; 'matthew@turn.org'; 'MCallahan@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'MDJoseph@AdamsBroadwell.com'; 'MeganMMyers@yahoo.com'; 'mh3@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'mmattes@nossaman.com'; 'mrp@dwgp.com'; 'mrw@mrwassoc.com'; 'nes@a-klaw.com'; 'NGillette@EnerNOC.com'; 'NMalcolm@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'nreardon@sonomacleanpower.org'; 'PatrickFerguson@dwt.com'; 'PhilM@SCDenenergy.com'; 'pmiller@nrdc.org'; 'Policy@EfficiencyCouncil.org'; 'pva@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Rachel@LargeScaleSolar.org'; 'ralaurie@iid.com'; 'rc5@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'RCavanagh@nrdc.org'; 'RegCleanPowers@SFWater.org'; 'RegRelCPUCcases'; 'regulatory@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'Rochelle@A4NR.org'; 'rp1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Samuel@Blakeslee-Blakeslee.com'; 'sarah.keane@morganlewis.com'; 'sgriffin@meyersnave.com'; 'Sherry.Lewis66@att.net'; 'SMarshall@LeanEnergyUS.org'; 'SMeyers@MeyersNave.com'; 'smn@dwgp.com'; Ramaiya, Shilpa; 'srt@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'SShupe@SonomaCleanPower.org'; 'ssmyers@att.net'; 'SSwaroop@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'Stough@BraunLegal.com'; 'sue.mara@RTOAdvisors.com'; 'svn@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'tam@communityRenewables.biz'; 'tdp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'TLindl@kfwlaw.com'; 'Ty@TosdalLaw.com'; 'venskus@lawsv.com'; 'VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com'; 'VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com'; Manheim, William (Law); 'ys2@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'zk1@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Nora Sheriff'; Gallagher, Christiana; Dumas, Zandre; Mathai-Jackson, Grady (Law); 'Nathaniel Malcolm'; 'Nora Sheriff'; 'Travis Ritchie'; 'emeyer@environmentalprogress.org'; 'michael@environmentalprogress.org'; 'mcallahan@mcecleanenergy.org'; 'Steve McCarty'; Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Berman, Janice; Mathai-Jackson, Grady (Law)

Subject: A.16-08-006: PG&E's Application Regarding Diablo Canyon - Materials in Advance of Prehearing Conference

Judge Allen:

In advance of the October 6th pre-hearing conference, a number of parties were able to meet on October 4th to discuss the schedule for the proceeding, as well as positions on whether issues are in scope or out of scope. Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") sent an e-mail to the service list inviting parties to participate in the October 4th meeting which was hosted at PG&E. A number of parties were able to participate, but not all of the parties. A list of the parties who were able to participate in the meeting, either in-person or on the telephone, is provided at the end of this e-mail.

By meeting in advance, it was PG&E's hope that the parties could consolidate proposed schedules into a single document, and create a list of issues that would include parties' positions on whether these issues were in or out of scope. Parties' participation in this voluntary meeting was not intended to limit any party's ability to make comments or raise issues at the pre-hearing conference, or to pre-judge any party's position on any issue.

The parties that attended the meeting were able to work collaboratively and PG&E has prepared several documents that it wanted to provide in advance of the pre-hearing conference. First, we are attaching a document that consolidates various parties' proposed schedules into a single table, and includes the names of parties that support the various proposed schedules.

Second, we are attaching a list of issues that were identified by parties and the position of various parties as to whether an issue is in scope or out of scope. Not all of the parties provided comments or input on the list of issues, or their positions. The attached list reflects the parties that did provide input and comments. In addition, the fact that a party is listed as supporting an issue being in scope does not indicate the position that a party is taking on a specific issue, but simply that this is an appropriate issue to be in scope for this proceeding. This list is not intended to characterize parties' positions on any of these issues, and we attempted to keep the statement of issues as neutral as possible.

We hope these materials are helpful in preparing for the pre-hearing conference, and PG&E wanted to express its appreciation to the parties for their willingness to work together in advance of the pre-hearing conference.

Bill Manheim and Charles Middlekauff
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Law Department

Cc: Application 16-08-006 service list

Parties Attending October 4th Meeting:

| |
|---|
| Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility |
| Alliance for Retail Energy Markets/Direct Access Customer Coalition |
| California Clean DG Coalition |
| California Energy Efficiency Industry Council |
| California Large Energy Consumers Association |
| Californians for Green Nuclear Power |
| Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies |

| |
|--|
| Central Coast Wave Energy/Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon |
| City of Lancaster |
| City of San Luis Obispo/City of Pismo Beach/City of Paso Robles/City of Arroyo Grande/City of Morro Bay/City of Atascadero (“Nearby Cities”) |
| Comverge, Inc/ CPower/ Enernoc, Inc./ Energy Hub (“Joint DR Parties) |
| County of San Luis Obispo |
| Energy Producers and Users Coalition |
| Environmental Defense Fund |
| Environmental Progress |
| Friends of the Earth |
| Imperial Irrigation District |
| Independent Energy Producers Association |
| International Brotherhood of Electric Utility Workers Union 1245 and the Coalition of California Utility Employees |
| LEAN Energy |
| Marin Clean Energy |
| Office of Ratepayer Advocates |
| San Luis Coastal Unified School District |
| San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace |
| Shell Energy North America (US) LP |
| Sierra Club |
| SolarCity Corporation |
| Sonoma County Power Authority |
| South San Joaquin Irrigation District |
| Transmission Agency of Northern California |
| World Business Academy |

Proposed Schedules:

| Activity | Application Proposal | Revised PG&E Dates | TURN Dates | Mothers for Peace Dates | SolarCity Dates ¹ | SLO County Dates ² | SCPA Phase II Dates ³ | Nearby Cities' Dates ⁴ | MCE Dates ⁵ | Environmental Progress |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intervenor Testimony | 10/28/2016 | 12/2/2016 | 1/27/2017 | 2/15/2017 | 1/27/2017 | 2/2017 or 3/2017 | 12/1/2017 | 3/31/2017 | 2/17/17 | Stay Proceeding ⁶ |
| Rebuttal Testimony | 11/30/2016 | 1/27/2017 | 2/24/17 | 3/15/2017 | 3/07/2017 | | 1/5/2018 | 4/15/2017 | 3/17/17 | |
| Hearings (Start Date) | 12/13/2016 | 3/6/2017 | 3/13/17 | 4/10/2017 | 4/03/2017 | | 2/5/2018 | 5/6/2017 | 4/17/17 | |
| Opening Brief | 1/16/2017 | 3/31/2017 | 4/7/17 | 5/8/2017 | 5/10/2017 | | 3/2/2018 | 5/31/2017 | 5/15/17 | |
| Reply Brief | 2/3/2017 | 4/21/2017 | 4/28/17 | 6/12/2017 | 5/24/2017 | | 3/23/2018 | 6/21/2017 | 6/5/17 | |
| Proposed Decision | 5/2017 | 7/2017 | 7/2017 | 9-10/2017 | 8/2017 | | 6/2018 | 9/2017 | 9/2017 | |
| Final Decision | 6/2017 | 8/2017 | 8/2017 | 12/2017 | 9/2017 | | 7/2018 | 10/2017 | 10/2017 | |

¹ SolarCity's proposed dates assume procurement remains in scope.

² SLO County will present its proposed schedule, and provide copies to the parties, during the pre-hearing conference.

³ Represents dates if a second phase is created in this proceeding to address replacement procurement issues.

⁴ As public entities, the Nearby Cities cannot retain the expertise necessary to meaningfully analyze and comment on the Joint Proposal without first going through a lengthy procurement process. The Nearby Cities propose that the dates for submitting Intervenor and Rebuttal Testimony provide sufficient time for the completion of that process.

⁵ Marin Clean Energy ("MCE") has also proposed workshops that would conclude by December 15.

⁶ Proceeding should be stayed until CPUC reform, criminal trials, and e-mail related issues are addressed.

Parties Support PG&E's Revised Schedule:

- Natural Resources Defense Council ("NRDC")
- Friends of the Earth ("FOE")
- Coalition of California Utility Employees and IBEW 1245 ("CUE/IBEW")
- Large-Scale Solar Association ("LSA")
- Independent Energy Producers Association ("IEP")
- California Clean DG Coalition ("CCDC")
- California Energy Efficiency Industry Council ("CEEIC")
- Transmission Agency of Northern California ("TANC")
- Imperial Irrigation District ("IID")
- Sonoma Clean Power Authority ("SCPA")⁷
- USS POSCO Industries ("UPI")
- Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility ("A4NR")

Parties that Support CEERT's Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support TURN's Proposed Schedule:

- Central Coast Wave Energy Hub
- Office of Ratepayer Advocates ("ORA")
- South San Joaquin Irrigation District ("SSJID")⁸
- World Business Academy
- California Large Energy Consumer Association ("CLECA")
- Energy Users and Producers Coalition ("EPUC")
- Sierra Club
- A4NR
- Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies ("CEERT")
- Converge, Inc., CPower, Enernoc, Inc., and EnergyHub ("DR Parties")

⁷ Supports the schedule, provided that replacement procurement not in scope, if it is, that should be the subject of a separate Phase II with the schedule shown above

⁸ Also supports any of the proposed schedules that have a definite start date and begin later than TURN's proposed schedule.

Parties that Support Mothers for Peace's Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support SolarCity's Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support San Luis Obispo County's Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support Sonoma Clean Power's Phase 2 Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support Nearby Cities' Proposed Schedule:

- MCE supports the date for intervenor testimony and maintaining the intervals between events in MCE's schedule

Parties that Support MCE's Proposed Schedule:

Parties that Support Environmental Progress' Proposed Schedule:

**Application for the Retirement of Diablo Canyon Power Plant,
Implementation of Joint proposal, And recovery of Associated Costs
Through Proposed Ratemaking Mechanisms**

(Application 16-08-006)

The following is a preliminary list of issues which may be either in scope or out of scope in the proceeding. The fact that a party is listed as supporting an issue being in scope does not indicate the position that a party is taking on a specific issue, but simply that this is an appropriate issue to be in scope. This list is not intended to characterize parties' positions on any of these issues.

At the end of this document is a list of parties that filed protests and responses, and the acronyms for these parties. For brevity, the issue list below uses the acronyms for parties.

| | Issue Categories | Issue In Scope | Issue Out of Scope |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Diablo Canyon Retirement: | | |
| 1 | <u>DCPP Retirement</u> : Proposal for the retirement of Diablo Canyon at the end of the license period and retirement-related issues | PG&E; LSA; IEP; SLO County; CEEIC; FOE; Nearby Cities; SCPA; SSJID; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; TURN; Sierra Club | |
| 2 | <u>Early DCP Retirement</u> : Need for, and feasibility of, early shutdown of Diablo Canyon before the licenses expire (2024-2025) | WBA; MFP | PG&E; CUE/IBEW |
| 3 | <u>Future Costs of DCP</u> : Future costs of operating DCP | EP; PG&E; TURN | |
| | Replacement Procurement: | | |
| 4 | <u>Joint Parties' Replacement Procurement Proposal</u> : Proposal for three tranches of procurement to partially replace Diablo Canyon | PG&E; LSA; UPI; SLO County; CEEIC, FOE, Nearby Cities; CUE/IBEW; TURN; Sierra Club | CalSEIA; SolarCity; MCE; CCDC; SCPA; LEAN; CLECA; ORA |
| 5 | <u>Cost Allocation for Replacement Resources</u> : Cost allocation proposals, self-provision proposals, vintaging, and other cost allocation issues | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; TURN; SSJID; SCPA; Sierra Club | CLECA; MCE; ORA |
| 6 | <u>Ratemaking for Replacement Resources</u> : Ratemaking proposals for replacement resources | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; TURN; SCPA; Sierra Club | MCE; ORA |

| | Issue Categories | Issue In Scope | Issue Out of Scope |
|----|---|--|--|
| 7 | <u>Integrated Resource Plan (“IRP”)</u> and/or Phase 2 of this Proceeding: Replacement resources should be considered in the IRP proceeding, not this proceeding or, if they are considered in this proceeding, should be considered in Phase 2 | SCPA; LEAN; CLECA ¹ ; ORA | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; MCE (as to procurement being addressed in this proceeding) |
| 8 | <u>Eligible Resources</u> : Resource eligibility for replacement procurement (e.g., demand response) | PG&E; Joint DR Parties; CUE/IBEW; TURN; EDF; SCPA | MCE; ORA |
| 9 | <u>Timing</u> : Timing of replacement procurement | PG&E; LSA; CUE/IBEW; TURN; SCPA; CLECA; Sierra Club; MFP | MCE; ORA |
| 10 | <u>Procurement Approval</u> : Process for approving replacement resources | SCPA; PG&E | MCE; ORA |
| 11 | <u>Replacement Resources Beyond the Three Proposed Tranches</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional all-source competitive procurement or similar mechanisms for replacing 18,000 GWh of energy Resource replacement commitment represented by Tranche #1 and Tranche #2 and extent of reliance on fossil or gas-fired resources between 2017 and 2039 above tranches | IEP; CUE/IBEW; MFP CEERT; CUE/IBEW; EDF | PG&E; MCE; ORA PG&E; MCE; ORA |
| 12 | <u>Evaluation of Replacement Procurement</u> : Statutory compliance and evaluation of PG&E’s three tranche procurement proposal (i.e., GHG emissions reduction and resource procurement targets of SB 350 and SB 32); bid evaluation criteria to be applied (e.g., Least Cost Best Fit (LCBF); and the process for Commission review, evaluation, and measurement of targets | CEERT; PG&E; EDF; SCPA; Sierra Club | MCE; ORA |

¹ CLECA’s position is that issues should be considered in relevant proceedings including EE docket, etc. as well as IRP.

| | Issue Categories | Issue In Scope | Issue Out of Scope |
|----|---|--|---------------------|
| 13 | <u>Procurement Proposal Assumptions</u> : Needs scenario and procurement proposal development: i.e., extent to which assumptions on the defaulting of residential customers to time-of-use pricing were incorporated and other procurement options were considered. | Joint DR Parties; PG&E; EDF | MCE; ORA |
| | Employee Program: | | |
| 14 | <u>Employee Program</u> : Proposed Employee Program | PG&E; LSA; SLO County, CEEIC; FOE; Nearby Cities; SCPA; MCE; SSJID; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; LEAN | |
| 15 | <u>Cost Recovery and Ratemaking</u> : Cost recovery and ratemaking proposals for Employee program | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; MCE | |
| | Community Impacts: | | |
| 16 | <u>Proposed Community Impact Mitigation Program</u> : The reasonableness of the proposed Community Impact Mitigation Plan, including funding levels and timing issues, and associated cost recovery and allocation | PG&E, LSA, SLC Unified, SLO County, CEEIC, FOE, Nearby Cities, SCPA; MCE; SSJID; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; LEAN; Sierra Club | |
| 17 | <u>Cost Recovery and Ratemaking</u> : Cost recovery and ratemaking proposals for Community Impact Mitigation Program | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; MCE | |
| 18 | <u>Quantifying Economic Impact of DCP Retirement</u> : Quantification and assessment of total local economic impact of Diablo Canyon retirement | SLO County; Nearby Cities; MFP | PG&E; FOE; CUE/IBEW |
| 19 | <u>Early Action Mitigation</u> : Early action to mitigate job losses | | PG&E; FOE |
| 20 | <u>Contingency Plans</u> : Contingency plan for Community Impacts Mitigation Program, emergency response activities, and economic impacts in event of early shutdown of Diablo Canyon before the current licenses expire | SLO County; Nearby Cities | PG&E; FOE; CUE/IBEW |

| | Issue Categories | Issue In Scope | Issue Out of Scope |
|----|--|---|---------------------|
| 21 | <u>Emergency Response</u> : Contingency Plan in event of early shutdown of Diablo Canyon before the current licenses expire and funding levels during the period leading up to decommissioning (2016–2025) and during the decommissioning period (2025 onward) | SLO County; Nearby Cities | PG&E |
| | Recovery of License Renewal Costs: | | |
| 22 | <u>License Renewal Costs</u> : Reasonableness of license renewal costs and recovery | PG&E; LSA; SLO County; CEEIC; Nearby Cities; SCPA; MCE; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; TURN; LEAN; MFP | |
| 23 | <u>Cost Recovery and Ratemaking</u> : Cost recovery and ratemaking proposals for license renewal cost | PG&E; LSA; SLO County; CEEIC; Nearby Cities; SCPA; CUE/IBEW; TURN; LEAN; MFP | MCE |
| | Ratemaking Proposals: | | |
| 24 | <u>Proposed Balancing Accounts</u> : The balancing accounts and accounting treatment proposed by PG&E for Diablo Canyon depreciation costs and the Employee Program | PG&E; LSA; SLO County; CEEIC; FOE; Nearby Cities; SCPA; MCE; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; TURN; LEAN | |
| 25 | <u>Proposed Ratemaking</u> : Other ratemaking proposals, not identified above, including the depreciation expense revenue requirement | PG&E; LSA; SLO County; CEEIC; FOE; Nearby Cities; SCPA; A4NR; CUE/IBEW; LEAN | |
| | Land Use Issues: | | |
| 26 | Land use issues generally | Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon; SLO County; Nearby Cities; Central Coast Wave Energy Hub; Sierra Club; MFP | PG&E; FOE; CUE/IBEW |
| 27 | Disposition of buffer lands and desalination plant and planning process | Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon; Nearby Cities; SLO County; Central Coast Wave Energy Hub; Sierra Club | PG&E; FOE; CUE/IBEW |

| | Issue Categories | Issue In Scope | Issue Out of Scope |
|----|--|--|----------------------|
| 28 | Determine the ultimate fate of certain non-nuclear Diablo buildings and facilities | Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon; Nearby Cities; SLO County; Central Coast Wave Energy Hub; Sierra Club | PG&E; FOE; CUE/IBEW |
| | Additional Issues: | | |
| 29 | Clarification that any cost recovery provisions approved in this application do not guarantee PG&E full recovery of capital or operating costs of Diablo Canyon in the event of an early shutdown. | TURN; SCPA | |
| 30 | The proposal for a change in existing policies to allow allocation of resource costs for integration and storage through the CAISO's Transmission Access Charge ("TAC") or alternatively, through a Cost Allocation Mechanism ("CAM") or other non-bypassable grid charge. | TANC; IID; SCPA | PG&E; CUE/IBEW |
| 31 | Impacts on Community Choice Aggregators | MCE; SCPA; LEAN; MFP | PG&E |
| 32 | Impact on Power Charge Indifference Adjustment ("PCIA") | MCE; SSJID; SCPA; LEAN | PG&E; CUE/IBEW |
| 33 | Dismissal of entire Application and address issues in separate proceedings | CLECA; MCE | PG&E; CUE/IBEW |
| 34 | Environmental Impact Review | EP | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; A4NR |
| 35 | San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station ("SONGS") e-mails, federal and state criminal investigations, CPUC reform | EP | PG&E; CUE/IBEW; A4NR |

Name of Parties and Acronyms

| Name of Party | Acronym/Name |
|--|------------------|
| Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility | A4NR |
| Alliance for Retail Energy Markets/Direct Access Customer Coalition | AReM/DACC |
| California Clean DG Coalition | CCDC |
| California Energy Efficiency Industry Council | CEEIC |
| California Energy Storage Alliance | CESA |
| California Large Energy Consumers Association | CLECA |
| California Solar Energy Industries Association | CalSEIA |
| Californians for Green Nuclear Power | CGNP |
| Center for Climate Protection | CCP |
| Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies | CEERT |
| Central Coast Wave Energy/Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon | Central Coast |
| City and County of San Francisco | CCSF |
| City of Lancaster | Lancaster |
| City of San Luis Obispo/City of Pismo Beach/City of Paso Robles/City of Arroyo Grande/City of Morro Bay/City of Atascadero | Nearby Cities |
| Coalition of California Utility Employees and IBEW Local Union 1245 and the | CCUE/IBEW |
| Comverge, Inc/ CPower/ Enernoc, Inc./ Energy Hub | Joint DR Parties |
| County of San Luis Obispo | San Luis |
| Energy Producers and Users Coalition | EPUC |
| Energy Users Forum | EUF |
| Environment California | EC |
| Environmental Defense Fund | EDF |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Environmental Progress | EP |
| Friends of the Earth | FOE |
| Green Power Institute | GPI |
| Independent Energy Producers Association | IEP |
| Large-Scale Solar Association | LSA |
| LEAN Energy US | LEAN |
| Marin Clean Energy | MCE |
| Natural Resources Defense Council | NRDC |
| Office of Ratepayer Advocates | ORA |
| OhmConnect Inc. | OhmConnect |
| San Luis Coastal Unified School District | SLCUSD |
| San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace | MFP |
| Shell Energy North America (US) LP | Shell |
| Sierra Club | Sierra Club |
| SolarCity Corporation | SolarCity |
| Sonoma Clean Power Authority | SCPA |
| South San Joaquin Irrigation District | SSJID |
| The Utility Reform Network | TURN |
| Women's Energy Matters | WEM |
| World Business Academy | WBA |